





# Fall 2016 – Hydraulics Updates Guidance Updates

### General Hydraulics Guidance:

- Originally transformed in May 2016
- Provides additional clarity on levels of study such as Base Level Engineering and Detailed Study
- Held for release to correspond with 1-D and 2-D Analysis Guidance release

### Table of Contents 4.1 One-Dimensional Steady Flow 4.2 One-Dimensional Unsteady Flow 4.3 Two-Dimensional Models 4.5 Calibration of Hydraulic Models 6.0 Related Topics Covered by Other/Future Guidance ...... 7.0 Hydraulic Modeling for Future Hydrologic Conditions \_\_\_\_\_\_16 8.0 Hydraulic and Floodway Submittel 9.0 Hydraulic and Floodway Analyses Quality Control...... 9.1 Hydraulic and Floodway Review Requirements...... 9.8 Hydraulic Review Documentation... List of Tables 9.7 General Review Considerations Table 1: Base and Enhanced Level Analysis Approach Options..... General Hydraulics Considerations





Guldance Document 52

# Fall 2016 – Hydraulics Updates Guidance Updates

### General Hydraulics Guidance:

- Originally transformed in May 2016
- Provides additional clarity on levels of study such as Base Level Engineering and Detailed Study
- Held for release to correspond with 1-D and 2-D Analysis Guidance release

Option	Cross Sections	Flow Paths (Left, Right and Channel)	Manning's "n" Values	Structures	Flood Zone
А		Reach lengths are assumed equal.	Single value for each cross section.	Not included; cross sections placed as if structures don't exist or cross sections placed appropriately for structure modeling.	A
В	Auto-placed and hand adjusted or auto- placed by "intelligent" methods.	Reach lengths computed by offsetting stream centerline.	Overbanks from Land Use Land Cover (LULC) data, channel value estimated separately.	Not included; but cross sections placed appropriately for structure modeling.	A
С	Each section reviewed by engineers.	Reach lengths adjusted based on draft floodplain.	,	Included; structure data from national, state or other data source. Estimated base on topography and aerial photos for those not available.	A
D	Each section reviewed by engineers.	Reach lengths adjusted based on draft floodplain.	Overbanks from LULC data, channel value estimated separately and calibrated where possible.	Included; structure data from as-builts, design plans, "measured" in the field, or other community datasets with opening information.	A or AE
E	Each section reviewed by engineers, Channel bathymetry included in sections.	Reach lengths adjusted based on draft floodplain.	Overbanks from LULC data and field data, channel value estimated separately from field data and calibrated where possible.	Included; structure data from field survey, asbuilts, design plans, "measured" in the field.	AE





# Fall 2016 – Guidance Transformation Hydraulics – One Dimensional Analysis

#### **Notes:**

- Mostly minor edits to existing information.
- Added information on how to select between steady and unsteady modeling techniques. Tied to General Hydraulics Considerations

### **New or Significant Changes:**

None

"The approach used for the hydraulic analyses can generally be categorized as one of three types: one-dimensional steady flow, one-dimensional unsteady flow, and two-dimensional steady and unsteady flow analyses. The approaches require different level of effort. For more information about selecting the appropriate modeling analysis see the General Hydraulic Considerations Guidance. For more information about two-dimensional analysis see the Hydraulics: Two-Dimensional Analysis Guidance."

#### Table of Contents

1.0	Introd	uction	1
2.0	One-E	Dimensional Hydraulic Analysis Procedures	1
2.	1 Hyd	trology	1
2.	2 One	e-Dimensional Steady Flow Procedures	2
	2.2.1	Profile Baseline	2
	2.2.2	Cross Sections	2
	2.2.3	Hydraulics Structures	3
	2.2.4	Ineffective Flow Areas	4
	2.2.5	Energy Loss Coefficients	5
	2.2.6	Starting Water Surface Elevations	6
	2.2.7	Split Flow, Diverted Flow	7
	2.2.8	Supercritical Flow	8
2.5	3 One	e-Dimensional Unsteady Flow Procedures	9
	2.3.1	Boundary Conditions for Unsteady Flow Computations	10
	2.3.2	Non-conveyance Areas for Unsteady Flow Computations	10
2.	4 Flo	odway Analysis	10
3.0	Calibr	ation of Hydraulic Models	11
1.0	Delive	erable Products	11
5.0	Hydra	ulic Review Requirements	11

Hydraulics: One-Dimensional Analysis Guidance Document 80 vember 2016 Page iii





# Fall 2016 – Guidance Transformation Hydraulics – Two Dimensional Analysis

#### Notes:

 This transformation also addressed some TMAC recommendations about when to use 2-D models

### **New or Significant Changes:**

- New content from recently developed whitepapers and other technical documents
- Guidance to assist modelers when selecting between 1-D and 2-D models, discuss appropriate use for 2-D models
- Better defining data sources,
- Information about model verification and maintenance.

1.0	Introduction	. 1
1.1	Background	. 1
1.2	Appropriate Use of 2-D Model	. 1
1.	.2.1 Decision Process	. 2
1.3	Minimum Data Requirements for 2-D Model Use	. 5
2.0	Model Verification and Maintenance	. 5
2.1	Model Verification	. 5
2.2	Model Maintenance	. 6
3.0	Two-Dimensional Hydraulic Analysis Procedures	. 6
3.1	Topographic Information	. 6
3.2	Hydraulic Structures	. 7
3.3	Non-Conveyance Areas	. 7
3.4	Energy Loss Coefficients	. 7
3.5	Hydrologic Inputs	. 8
3	.5.1 Initial Conditions	. 8
3	.5.2 Boundary Conditions	. 9
3.6	Flow Paths	. 9
4.0	Calibration of Hydraulic Models	. 9
5.0	Alluvial Fan Analysis	10
6.0	Floodway Determination	10
7.0	Hydraulic Review Requirements	10
8.0	Deliverable Products	10
8.1	Special Mapping Considerations	10
Refere	ences	12





# Fall 2016 – Guidance Transformation Hydraulics – Two Dimensional Analysis

### 1.2.1 Decision Process

Standard Engineering practice should be followed and in general, technical modeling factors to be considered include but are not limited to:

- 1. Topographic data availability and resolution
- Cell size and computation time
- 3. Etc....

Additional factors that may more closely impact the FEMA products that should be considered include:

- 1. Population density
- 2. Level of expertise in community
- 3. Etc....

When deciding whether a 2-D model would be appropriate the following questions should be asked:

- A. Technical (qualitative or quantitative assessment):
- 1. Will a 2-D analysis (as oppose to 1-D analysis) result in more accurate flood elevations on NFIP maps given the conditions on the ground?
- 2. Etc....
- B. Cost (qualitative or quantitative assessment):
- 1. Does the model need to be purchased and what is the cost to FEMA or the user, ensuring it adheres to requirements set forth in 44 CFR 65.6(a)(6)?
- 2. Etc....
- A. Programmatic (qualitative or quantitative assessment):
- 1. What are the benefits to the community and property owners from a 2-D analysis?
- 2. How many structures and how many people will be impacted?
- 3. Etc....





# Fall 2016 – Guidance Transformation Floodway Analysis Mapping

#### Notes:

 Transformation of existing guidance with no major changes

### **New or Significant Changes:**

None

1.0	Introduction	. 1
2.0	Floodway Coordination	. 2
3.0	Steady State Floodway Analysis	. 3
3.1	Boundary of Floodway Analyses	. 3
3.2	Storage Considerations	. 4
3.3	Tributary, Split and Diverted Flows	. 4
3.4	Negative Surcharge Values	. 5
4.0	Unsteady State Floodway Analysis	. 5
4.1	One-Dimensional Unsteady Floodway Analysis	. 5
4.2	Two-Dimensional Unsteady Floodway Analysis	. 6
5.0	Floodway Boundary Mapping	. 6
6.0	Floodway Data Table	. 7
7.0	Deliverable Products	. 7
8.0	Floodway Analysis Review	.7





# Fall 2016 – Guidance Transformation Flood Profiles

### **New or Significant Changes:**

 New guidance added to address drawdowns, overprints, and profiles for 2D modeling

#### **Notes:**

Transformation of existing guidance

"When efforts to correct drawdowns and crossing profiles within the model have been exhausted or the profile has been determined to be hydraulically accurate as-is (with accuracy emphasis to the 1.0%-annual-chance profile), then the removal of these features occurs in the course of profile production. In the case of a drawdown, the lower upstream inflection point should be raised until it equals the elevation of the next inflection point downstream for that recurrence interval."

1.0	Introduction	. 1
2.0	Study Types	1
2.1	1-D Steady State	1
2.2	1-D Unsteady	1
2.3	2-D Studies	1
3.0	Profile Layout	2
3.1	Cross-sections	2
3.2	Profile Lines	3
3.3	Stream Crossings	4
3.4	Stream Crossing Labels	4
3.5	Stream Connections	4
3.6	Other Flooding Influences	5
3.7	Levees	. 7
3.8	Data Gaps	7
3.9	Scales	7
4.0	Unrevised Profiles	8
5.0	Delivery	. 8





# Fall 2016 – Guidance Transformation Shallow Flooding

#### Notes:

- Transformation of existing guidance
- Revisions to some language to reflect current program terminology and evolving methods of analysis since Appendix E was originally written.

### New or Significant Changes:

None

#### **Table of Contents**

1.0	Overview	. !
2.0	Shallow Flooding Defined	. 1
3.0	Applicable Flood Hazard Zones	. 1
4.0	Shallow Flooding Classifications and Descriptions	. 2
4.1	Ponding	. 2
4.2		
5.0	Study Procedures	. 3
5.1	Base Level Methods	. 3
5.2	Detailed Study Methods: Ponding	. 4
5.3	Detailed Study Methods: Sheet Runoff	
5.4	Deliverable Products	

#### List of Tables





# Fall 2016 – Guidance Transformation Shallow Flooding

Flood Hazard Zone	Shallow Flooding Description
Zone A	Area of special flood hazards without water surface elevations determined.1
	Zone A is the flood hazard zone that corresponds to the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplains that are determined by Zone A study methods in shallow flooding areas. No 1-percent-annual-chance flood elevations or average depths are shown within this zone on the FIRM.
Zone AO	Area of special flood hazards having shallow water depths and/or unpredictable flow paths between (1) and (3) ft. 1
	In other words, Zone AO corresponds to the areas of the 1-percent-annual-chance flooding (usually sheet flow on undulating terrain) where average depths are between 1.0 and 3.0 feet. Average whole-foot depths derived from the hydraulic analysis are shown within this zone on the FIRM.
Zone AH	Areas of special flood hazards having shallow water depths and/or unpredictable flow paths between (1) and (3) feet, and with water surface elevations determined. <sup>1</sup>
	In other words, Zone AH corresponds to the areas of 1-percent-annual-chance shallow flooding (usually ponding or sheet flow on uniformly sloping terrain) where average depths are between 1.0 and 3.0 feet. Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) derived from the hydraulic analysis are shown within this zone on the FIRM.
Zone X	Area of moderate flood hazards. <sup>1</sup>
(shaded)	In the case of shallow flooding areas, Zone X (shaded refers to those areas of the 1-percent-annual-chance flooding where average depths are less than 1.0 foot.





# Fall 2016 – Guidance Transformation Alluvial Fan Guidance

#### Notes:

- Transformation / update of existing guidance (extracted from Appendices G, L, M and Volume 1)
- Removed content that was no longer relevant
- On-going discussions about further refinements for future update cycles

### **New or Significant Changes:**

None

1.0	Introdu	uction	1
2.0	Analys	sis Approach	3
2.1	Rec	ognizing and Characterizing Alluvial Fan Landforms (Stage 1)	5
2	.1.1	Composition	5
2	.1.2	Morphology	5
2	.1.3	Location	5
2	.1.4	Defining Toe and Lateral Boundaries	5
2.2	Defi	ning Active and Inactive Areas (Stage 2)	6
2	.2.1	Identification of Active Areas	7
2	.2.2	Identification of Inactive Areas	7
2	.2.3	Identification Process	8
2.3	Defi	ning the 1-Percent-Annual-Chance Flood Within Defined Areas (Stage 3)	9
2	.3.1	Risk Based Analysis	. 19
2	.3.2	Analysis Using FAN Computer Program	. 19
2	.3.3	Sheetflow Analysis	.23
2	.3.4	Hydraulic Analysis	.24
_	.3.5 nformati	Analysis Using Geomorphic Data, Post-Flood Hazard Verification, and Historica ion	
2	.3.6	Anlaysis Using Composite Methods	.25
2.4	Мар	ping Analysis Results	.26
2.5	Sed	iment Transport	.26
3.0	Delive	rable Products	.28
Refer	ences		. 29
Gloss	ary		.30



