



Strategies for Smart Growth and Long-term Flood Risk Reduction: Tipton, IN Case Study

An Action Discovery Project as part of the FEMA Upper White River Watershed Discovery Report

Presented by:

Sheila McKinley and Siavash Beik
Christopher B. Burke Engineering, LLC

ASFPM National Conference
Kansas City, MO
May 4, 2017





RiskMAP
Increasing Resilience Together



NWS has issued a flood warning...



April 2013 Flood



April 2013 Flood



Flooding Damage Tops \$2.5M in Tipton, Indiana

May 8, 2013

Email This Print Newsletters

Recommend 4 Tweet Share 2

Article

Comments

The mayor of the central Indiana city of Tipton says recent flooding has caused more than **\$2.5 million in property damage and a loss of as much as 10 percent in its property tax base.**

Mayor Don Havens says in an impact statement to the Federal Emergency Management Agency that the floods also **displaced about 5 percent of the city's population of about 5,000 people.**

Insurance Journal



<http://www.insurancejournal.com/news/midwest/2013/05/08/291367.htm>



April 2013 Flood



Tipton – Flood Zones



Tipton – Flood Control Studies

- 2006 Flood Control Study of Big Cicero Creek
- 2014 Big Cicero Creek Bypass Study
- 2014 Big Cicero Creek Watershed Flood & Erosion Risk Management Plan
- 2016 Flood Depth Maps & Critical Facilities Flood Protection Analysis
- **Studies concluded that there were no effective and feasible structural solutions to protect Tipton from flooding**

What is Tipton to do?

- 800+ buildings in the floodplain (8 critical facilities)
- Continued vulnerability to significant flooding
- No feasible effective flood control alternative
- Climate change projections suggest floods will intensify
- Desire to be resilient, economically viable city despite its flood vulnerable settings

Land use planning policy approach **FLOOD RESILIENCE PLANNING**

- ***How?* EPA & FEMA 2014 report “Planning for Recovery and Long-Term Resilience in Vermont”**

Pilot Project Partnerships



Flood Resilience Planning Defined

- Resilience is the ability to adapt to changing conditions and prepare for, withstand, and rapidly recover from disruption.
- Measures taken to reduce vulnerability to damages from flooding and to support long-term recovery after an extreme flood event through:
 1. Integrating smart growth principles into policies
 2. Enhancing local development regulations
 3. Integrating strategies in Hazard Mitigation Plan, Comprehensive Plan, Development Codes, and Capital Improvement Plans

Overview of the Planning Process

- **10-month planning process**
- **Review and consolidation of flood-related data and studies**
- **Meetings with stakeholders:**
 - Large group meetings and individual meetings
- **Develop recommendations for:**
 1. Overall Strategies
 2. Flood Resilience Planning Areas

Flood Resilience Strategies for Tipton

1. Adopt Overall Strategies

- Conducting regular audits of policies, regulations, and budgets
- Checking for consistency, updating, integrating, and revising plans, policies, and regulations
- Participation in the Community Rating System

2. Adopt Specific Land Use Strategies for Flood Resilience Planning Areas

- River Corridors (floodway and erosional corridors)
- Other Flood Hazard Areas (floodway fringe areas)
- Vulnerable Settlements (developments already existing in harms way)
- Safer Areas (low flood risk areas)
- The entire Watershed (the Big Cicero Watershed)

1. Overall Strategies

■ Strategies for Flood Resilience

1. Update floodplain regulations
2. Adopt flood elevation data from updated flood studies
3. Adopt a comprehensive stormwater ordinance and technical standards
4. Update, integrate and revise plans, policies and regulations
5. Conduct regular audits of programs and policies
6. Participate in the Community Rating System

1. Resiliency Checklist


■ Conduct regular audits of programs and policies

- Multiple plans, policies, and regulations play role in resilience including:
 - Comprehensive Plan
 - Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan
 - Zoning / Subdivision Control Ordinance
 - Capital Improvement Plan
 - Economic Development Plan
 - Floodplain / Stormwater Ordinances
- Need for coordination and complementary language
- ***Tipton needs to review current language and conduct regular audits to ensure consistency***


COMMUNITY RESILIENCE CHECKLIST		
Completed By: _____ Date of Completion: _____		
Notes: _____		

Overall Strategies to Enhance Resilience		
1. Does the community's comprehensive plan have a hazard element or flood planning section?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
a. Does the comprehensive plan cross-reference the local Hazard Mitigation Plan and any disaster recovery plans?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
b. Does the comprehensive plan identify flood- and erosion-prone areas, including river corridor and fluvial erosion hazard areas, if applicable?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
c. Did the local government emergency response personnel, floodplain manager, and department of public works participate in developing/updating the comprehensive plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
2. Does the community have a local Hazard Mitigation Plan approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the state emergency management agency?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
a. Does the Hazard Mitigation Plan cross-reference the local comprehensive plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
b. Was the local government planner or zoning administrator involved in developing/updating the Hazard Mitigation Plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
c. Were groups such as local businesses, schools, hospitals/medical facilities, agricultural landowners, and others who could be affected by floods involved in the Hazard Mitigation Plan drafting process?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
d. Were groups such as local businesses, schools, hospitals/medical facilities, agricultural landowners, and others who could be affected by floods involved in the Hazard Mitigation Plan drafting process?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
e. Does the Hazard Mitigation Plan emphasize non-structural pre-disaster mitigation measures such as acquiring flood-prone lands and adopting No Adverse Impact floodplain regulations?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
f. Does the Hazard Mitigation Plan encourage using green infrastructure techniques to help prevent flooding?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

Floodplain Terminology Refresher



2. Flood Resilience Planning Areas



2A. River Corridor



Area Boundary – floodway or fluvial erosion hazard area, whichever is greater

Intent of Area Strategy – to conserve land and prohibit new development

2A. River Corridor


■ Strategies for Flood Resilience

1. Adopt a river corridor overlay zone and prohibit land disturbance in this zone
2. Protect undeveloped land in the river corridors
3. Minimize streambank erosion

2A. River Corridor – in detail

- **Adopt a river corridor overlay zone and prohibit land disturbance in this zone**
 - Establish additional or stricter standards and criteria to those of the underlying zoning district
 - Due to susceptibility and vulnerability to flooding and erosion, development or disturbance should be prohibited
 - Structures
 - Infrastructure and utilities
 - Land clearing, grading and excavation
 - ***Tipton should define and adopt a more restrictive river corridor overlay zone into their zoning ordinance***

2B. Other High Flood Hazard Areas



Area Boundary –
undeveloped land in the
floodway fringe

Intent of Area Strategy – to
conserve land and maintain
the natural and beneficial
function of the floodway
fringe


2B. Other High Flood Hazard Areas

■ Strategies for Flood Resilience

1. Prohibit development in the floodway fringe (including critical facilities)
2. Protect undeveloped land in the floodway fringe
3. Adopt compensatory floodplain storage requirement


2B. Other High Flood Hazard – in detail

- **Adopt compensatory floodplain storage requirements**
 - Only when placement of fill unavoidable and variance granted
 - Loss of floodplain storage could negatively impact other properties
 - Effective regulatory tool to compensate for any fill, structure, or other materials above grade in the regulatory floodplain



- ***Tipton should adopt a 3:1 compensation of floodplain storage into the proposed comprehensive stormwater ordinance***

2C. Vulnerable Settlements



Area Boundary – existing developed land in the SFHA (floodway and floodway fringe)

Intent of Area Strategy – to protect people, buildings, and facilities in vulnerable areas and reduce future flood risk

2C. Vulnerable Settlements


■ Strategies for Flood Resilience

1. Protect existing critical facilities
2. Relocate / buyout structures
3. Floodproof structures
4. Bring nonconforming uses into compliance
5. Create new flood storage capacity through redevelopment
6. Require building expansion and new accessory structure to meet additional requirements
7. Adopt a flood response plan
8. Adopt post-flood damage assessment data collection and protocols
9. Connect people to the river

Vulnerable Settlements – in detail

■ Protect existing critical facilities

- Intent is to protect critical facilities built in older communities prior to flood maps and advanced flood modeling
- Eight critical facilities in the SFHA
- Structural flood protection methods needed – floodwalls and levees to protect 3 feet above BFE or 500-yr + 2 ft.
- Need to minimize impact from floodplain storage and/or flow conveyance losses
- ***Tipton should secure funding from FEMA for floodproofing projects***



Conceptual Flood protection of Hospital




2C. Vulnerable Settlements – in detail

■ Relocate/Buyout Floodprone Structures

- Remove structures and create open space within flood hazard areas
- 823 homes and businesses are vulnerable to flooding
 - 41 in the floodway
 - 197 in the floodway fringe with flood depths expected over 2.5 feet
 - Good candidates for buyout
- ***Tipton should secure funding from FEMA to implement a relocation/buyout program***



2D. Safer Areas



Area Boundary – outside the SFHA but within the planning jurisdiction

Intent of Area Strategy – to plan for and promote development in areas that are less vulnerable to future floods

2D. Safer Areas

■ Strategies for Flood Resilience


1. Steer public policy and investment to support development in safer areas
2. Promote conservation design
3. Promote placement of critical facilities in safer areas



2D. Safer Areas – in detail

- **Steer public policy and investment to support development in safer areas**

- Current plan includes future growth and development in the SFHA
- Guide new development with capital improvement projects and expansion of utilities and infrastructure into safer areas
- ***Tipton should revisit their Comprehensive Plan to promote new development in safer areas***



2F. Watershed



Area Boundary – entire drainage area

Intent of Area Strategy – to promote coordination and partnerships and implement practices to slow, spread, and infiltrate flood water

2F. Watershed

- **Strategies for Flood Resilience**

1. Support the efforts of the Big Cicero Creek Drainage Board
2. Adopt a natural resource overlay zone

Watershed – in detail

- **Support the efforts of the Big Cicero Creek Joint Drainage Board (and implementation of 2014 Plan)**
 - BCCJDB regulates drainage in watershed in Hamilton, and Tipton Counties (+ small areas in Boone & Clinton Counties)
 - Efforts to improve situation – cover crops, maintain stream gages, 2-stage ditch construction, BMPs to minimize erosion, flood elevation studies
 - ***Tipton should continue to support efforts of the Board and implementation of the 2014 Plan***

Where do we start?



- **Prevent** any increase in flood vulnerability (steer new development to safer areas)
- **Prepare** for the next flood (flood response plan, education)
- **Protect** flood vulnerable structures (relocate/floodproof buildings/infrastructure)

Bottom Line

- Enough is enough
- Need to change mindsets – balance structural and nonstructural (planning and policy-based) solutions
- Implications of climate change
- **Prevent, Prepare, Protect!**



Call to Action

■ **Start implementing the plan!**

- Modify the City's comprehensive plan, floodplain ordinance, and other documents to reflect the recommended changes and facilitate their adoption by the City Council
- Secure funding and develop a Flood Response Plan
- Start conversation with FEMA & IDHS to secure funding for general structure buyouts and protection of critical facilities
- Encourage and support the hospital to initiate design process for the recommended flood protection measures

Questions or Comments?



Sheila McKinley, ASLA, AICP, CFM, LEED Green Associate
smckinley@cbbel-in.com

Siavash Beik, PE, CFM, D.WRE
sbeik@cbbel-in.com

Christopher B. Burke Engineering, LLC
115 W. Washington St., Ste. 1368
Indianapolis, IN 46204
317-266-8000
www.cbbel-in.com